

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 1**  
**RHB OO #1: Increase number of Family Planning Users**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users has decreased by less than 1% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>61,186</b>	<b>55,570</b>	<b>60,762</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>61,977</b>
ALEXANDER	251	219	217	-13.5	221
BUNCOMBE	1064	913	928	-12.8	947
BURKE	519	401	486	-6.4	496
CALDWELL	713	698	810	13.6	826
CATAWBA	808	638	682	-15.6	696
CHEROKEE	393	194	253	-35.6	258
CLAY	253	269	278	9.9	284
CLEVELAND	1252	1095	1047	-16.4	1,068
GRAHAM	93	92	58	-37.6	59
HAYWOOD	528	374	298	-43.6	304
HENDERSON	663	573	529	-20.2	540
JACKSON	369	378	464	25.7	473
MACON	357	254	250	-30.0	255
MADISON	200	167	209	4.5	213
SWAIN	160	143	146	-8.8	149
TRANSYLVANIA	117	43	55	-53.0	56
WILKES	399	301	258	-35.3	263
APPALACHIAN DISTRICT	294	270	243	-17.3	248
FOOTHILLS HEALTH DISTRICT	563	338	533	-5.3	544
TOE RIVER DISTRICT	370	406	323	-12.7	329

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 2**

**RHB OO #1: Increase number of Family Planning Users**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users has decreased by less than 1% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>61,186</b>	<b>55,570</b>	<b>60,762</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>61,977</b>
ALAMANCE	890	1282	1226	37.8	1,251
CASWELL	230	253	223	-3.0	227
CHATHAM	422	391	383	-9.2	391
DAVIDSON	1011	748	706	-30.2	720
DAVIE	285	273	261	-8.4	266
DURHAM	2541	1796	3151	24.0	3,214
FORSYTH	1956	1718	1211	-38.1	1,235
FRANKLIN	441	423	526	19.3	537
GUILFORD	2710	2254	3170	17.0	3,233
IREDELL	427	448	425	-0.5	434
JOHNSTON	958	679	1015	5.9	1,035
LEE	286	218	300	4.9	306
ORANGE	649	679	614	-5.4	626
PERSON	111	172	183	64.9	187
RANDOLPH	630	644	703	11.6	717
ROCKINGHAM	559	474	463	-17.2	472
ROWAN	920	869	877	-4.7	895
STOKES	249	206	176	-29.3	180
SURRY	714	798	416	-41.7	424
WAKE	3481	4888	4479	28.7	4,569
WARREN	231	177	190	-17.7	194
YADKIN	181	161	167	-7.7	170
GRANVILLE-VANCE DISTRICT	464	599	539	16.2	550

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 3**  
**RHB OO #1: Increase number of Family Planning Users**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users has decreased by less than 1% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>61,186</b>	<b>55,570</b>	<b>60,762</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>61,977</b>
ANSON	482	257	372	-22.8	379
BLADEN	380	355	346	-8.9	353
BRUNSWICK	900	660	625	-30.6	638
CABARRUS	733	516	734	0.1	749
COLUMBUS	503	521	510	1.4	520
CUMBERLAND	1421	1384	1541	8.4	1,572
DUPLIN	521	637	691	32.6	705
GASTON	2422	2081	2329	-3.8	2,376
HARNETT	964	816	858	-11.0	875
HOKE	517	469	501	-3.1	511
LENOIR	283	287	229	-19.1	234
LINCOLN	478	408	431	-9.8	440
MECKLENBURG	2343	2537	4164	77.7	4,247
MONTGOMERY	345	382	262	-24.1	267
MOORE	320	280	315	-1.6	321
NEW HANOVER	882	921	1047	18.7	1,068
PENDER	793	558	656	-17.3	669
RICHMOND	387	359	387	0.0	395
ROBESON	1340	1188	1328	-0.9	1,355
SAMPSON	855	588	620	-27.5	632
SCOTLAND	296	195	222	-25.0	226
STANLY	230	213	258	12.2	263
UNION	953	808	842	-11.6	859

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 4**  
**RHB OO #1: Increase number of Family Planning Users**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users has decreased by less than 1% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>61,186</b>	<b>55,570</b>	<b>60,762</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>61,977</b>
BEAUFORT	774	712	685	-11.5	699
CARTERET	478	340	396	-17.2	404
CRAVEN	1351	1391	1374	1.7	1,401
DARE	595	587	660	10.9	673
EDGECOMBE	322	217	289	-10.2	295
GREENE	227	178	208	-8.4	212
HALIFAX	375	310	330	-12.0	337
HYDE	82	42	69	-15.9	70
JONES	45	32	26	-42.2	27
NASH	1549	1358	1321	-14.7	1,347
NORTHAMPTON	123	84	99	-19.5	101
ONSLOW	1539	1335	1170	-24.0	1,193
PAMLICO	43	22	29	-32.6	30
PITT	1123	970	1044	-7.0	1,065
WAYNE	1724	1511	1991	15.5	2,031
WILSON	1072	756	742	-30.8	757
ALBEMARLE REGIONAL HEALTH SERVICES	1091	1008	1035	-5.1	1,056
MARTIN-TYRRELL-WASHINGTON DISTRICT	613	381	555	-9.5	566

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 1**

**RHB OO #2: Increase number of Family Planning Users 15-19**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users aged 15-19 has decreased by 11% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>5,932</b>	<b>6,594</b>	<b>-11.3</b>	<b>6,726</b>
ALEXANDER	48	29	30	-37.5	31
BUNCOMBE	87	75	68	-21.8	69
BURKE	67	59	52	-22.4	53
CALDWELL	131	113	140	6.9	143
CATAWBA	72	65	78	8.3	80
CHEROKEE	84	39	57	-32.1	58
CLAY	72	71	69	-4.2	70
CLEVELAND	188	153	157	-16.5	160
GRAHAM	39	32	18	-53.8	18
HAYWOOD	86	48	36	-58.1	37
HENDERSON	112	93	69	-38.4	70
JACKSON	69	47	64	-7.2	65
MACON	41	29	33	-19.5	34
MADISON	23	20	30	30.4	31
SWAIN	53	40	23	-56.6	23
TRANSYLVANIA	18	5	2	-88.9	2
WILKES	42	40	39	-7.1	40
APPALACHIAN DISTRICT	43	37	41	-4.7	42
FOOTHILLS HEALTH DISTRICT	112	67	88	-21.4	90
TOE RIVER DISTRICT	69	81	75	8.7	77

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 2**

**RHB OO #2: Increase number of Family Planning Users 15-19**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users aged 15-19 has decreased by 11% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>5,932</b>	<b>6,594</b>	<b>-11.3</b>	<b>6,726</b>
ALAMANCE	141	165	161	14.2	164
CASWELL	33	26	21	-36.4	21
CHATHAM	77	61	51	-33.8	52
DAVIDSON	116	81	97	-16.4	99
DAVIE	32	29	33	3.1	34
DURHAM	160	103	158	-1.3	161
FORSYTH	120	115	86	-28.3	88
FRANKLIN	71	51	60	-15.5	61
GUILFORD	384	345	386	0.5	394
IREDELL	93	85	86	-7.5	88
JOHNSTON	68	44	49	-27.9	50
LEE	27	17	22	-18.5	22
ORANGE	70	39	34	-51.4	35
PERSON	13	20	23	76.9	23
RANDOLPH	84	86	99	17.9	101
ROCKINGHAM	60	46	44	-26.7	45
ROWAN	87	80	90	3.4	92
STOKES	36	18	25	-30.6	26
SURRY	61	68	59	-3.3	60
WAKE	251	282	275	9.6	281
WARREN	26	20	15	-42.3	15
YADKIN	14	11	10	-28.6	10
GRANVILLE-VANCE DISTRICT	36	47	42	16.7	43

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 3**

**RHB OO #2: Increase number of Family Planning Users 15-19**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users aged 15-19 has decreased by 11% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>5,932</b>	<b>6,594</b>	<b>-11.3</b>	<b>6,726</b>
ANSON	65	25	48	-26.2	49
BLADEN	58	56	54	-6.9	55
BRUNSWICK	115	103	89	-22.6	91
CABARRUS	68	61	64	-5.9	65
COLUMBUS	84	95	112	33.3	114
CUMBERLAND	287	240	239	-16.7	244
DUPLIN	47	47	58	23.4	59
GASTON	430	321	358	-16.7	365
HARNETT	142	102	119	-16.2	121
HOKE	61	51	40	-34.4	41
LENOIR	45	42	47	4.4	48
LINCOLN	64	68	62	-3.1	63
MECKLENBURG	113	96	211	86.7	215
MONTGOMERY	62	44	33	-46.8	34
MOORE	27	11	18	-33.3	18
NEW HANOVER	80	81	134	67.5	137
PENDER	122	68	100	-18.0	102
RICHMOND	68	53	75	10.3	77
ROBESON	155	73	120	-22.6	122
SAMPSON	70	46	34	-51.4	35
SCOTLAND	58	36	45	-22.4	46
STANLY	33	21	29	-12.1	30
UNION	57	48	45	-21.1	46

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 4**

**RHB OO #2: Increase number of Family Planning Users 15-19**

CY 2023 Goal reflects a 2% increase from CY 2022

**In North Carolina, the number of family planning users aged 15-19 has decreased by 11% since CY 2020.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>	<u>% change from first period to most recent period</u>	<u>CY 2023 Goal</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>5,932</b>	<b>6,594</b>	<b>-11.3</b>	<b>6,726</b>
BEAUFORT	144	107	101	-29.9	103
CARTERET	50	34	41	-18.0	42
CRAVEN	140	136	188	34.3	192
DARE	67	58	69	3.0	70
EDGECOMBE	48	33	52	8.3	53
GREENE	39	28	23	-41.0	23
HALIFAX	38	41	52	36.8	53
HYDE	19	12	22	15.8	22
JONES	9	5	5	-44.4	5
NASH	150	94	135	-10.0	138
NORTHAMPTON	25	20	16	-36.0	16
ONSLOW	169	130	142	-16.0	145
PAMLICO	6	2	2	-66.7	2
PITT	145	0	0	-100.0	0
WAYNE	104	135	149	43.3	152
WILSON	126	93	96	-23.8	98
ALBEMARLE REGIONAL HEALTH SERVICES	210	159	165	-21.4	168
MARTIN-TYRRELL-WASHINGTON DISTRICT	114	75	107	-6.1	109

Data Source: Self-Report or LHD-HSA



**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 1**

**RHB OO #3: Repeat pregnancy age 17 and under**

Numerator (Num) equals the number of repeat pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

Denominator (Den) equals the number of teen pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

**In North Carolina, the average percentage of repeat teen pregnancies has decreased by 5.3% since CY12-CY14.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY12-CY14</u>	<u>CY15-CY17</u>	<u>CY18-CY20</u>	<u>% change from</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>		
	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>first 3-year</u>	<u>Numerator</u>	<u>Denominator</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>period to most</u>			
				<u>recent 3-year</u>			
				<u>period</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>-4.9</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>12.1</b>
ALEXANDER	0.0*	20.0*	5.6*	--	0	4	0.0*
ALLEGHANY	66.7*	0.0*	0.0*	-100.0	0	3	0.0*
ASHE	5.3*	0.0*	7.1*	35.7	1	6	16.7*
AVERY	0.0*	0.0*	0.0*	0.0	0	2	0.0*
BUNCOMBE	9.1*	0.0*	8.5*	-6.6	4	34	11.8*
BURKE	0.0*	7.4*	12.8*	--	0	11	0.0*
CALDWELL	5.9*	0.0*	8.3*	41.7	1	18	5.6*
CATAWBA	5.9*	14.3*	13.8*	133.8	2	18	11.1*
CHEROKEE	20.0*	0.0*	17.6*	-11.8	1	3	33.3*
CLAY	4.2*	14.8*	0.0*	-100.0	0	2	0.0*
CLEVELAND	0.0*	25.0*	8.3*	--	5	25	20.0*
GRAHAM	10.9*	17.4*	0.0*	-100.0	0	2	0.0*
HAYWOOD	4.0*	4.2*	0.0*	-100.0	0	3	0.0*
HENDERSON	8.1*	5.3*	7.3*	-9.8	2	16	12.5*
JACKSON	9.3*	20.5*	10.0*	7.1	0	4	0.0*
MACON	5.1*	14.9*	0.0*	-100.0	0	2	0.0*
MADISON	10.6*	10.9*	7.7*	-27.7	1	7	14.3*
MCDOWELL	12.3*	11.1*	16.0*	30.3	3	4	75.0*
MITCHELL	6.3*	6.4*	14.3*	125.7	0	2	0.0*
POLK	11.2*	4.5*	25.0*	122.5	0	1	0.0*
RUTHERFORD	14.0*	14.5*	2.6*	-81.7	0	10	0.0*
SWAIN	13.0*	8.8*	0.0*	-100.0	0	2	0.0*
TRANSYLVANIA	14.4*	8.6*	0.0*	-100.0	0	1	0.0*
WATAUGA	13.5*	8.4*	0.0*	-100.0	0	1	0.0*
WILKES	13.0	14.4*	14.9*	15.0	0	12	0.0*
APPALACHIAN DISTRICT	13.5*	7.6*	3.7*	-72.6	10	112	8.9*
FOOTHILLS HEALTH DISTRICT	12.1*	11.3*	8.6*	-29.3	0	0	#DIV/0!

(\*)rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable. Please use caution when interpreting these rates.

Note: The number of induced termination of pregnancy (abortion) forms submitted to the State Center for Health Statistics (SCHS) was underreported from 2011-2014. Please use caution when interpreting abortion and pregnancy numbers and rates from 2011-2014.

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 2**

**RHB OO #3: Repeat pregnancy age 17 and under**

Numerator (Num) equals the number of repeat pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

Denominator (Den) equals the number of teen pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

**In North Carolina, the average percentage of repeat teen pregnancies has decreased by 5.3% since CY12-CY14.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY12-CY14</u>	<u>CY15-CY17</u>	<u>CY18-CY20</u>	<u>% change from</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>		
	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>first 3-year</u>	<u>Numerator</u>	<u>Denominator</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>period to most</u>			
				<u>recent 3-year</u>			
				<u>period</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>-4.9</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>12.1</b>
ALAMANCE	5.6*	0.0*	8.0*	44.6	3	35	8.6*
CASWELL	14.3*	9.1*	12.5*	-12.5	0	1	0.0*
CHATHAM	17.4*	0.0*	17.6	1.5	--	--	--
DAVIDSON	10.6*	5.0*	9.4*	-11.3	3	25	12.0*
DAVIE	5.9*	7.7*	7.1*	21.4	0	2	0.0*
DURHAM	15.6*	8.1*	10.6*	-31.7	6	58	10.3*
FORSYTH	33.3*	5.6*	11.2*	-66.5	13	81	16.0*
FRANKLIN	11.1*	16.7*	13.5*	21.6	1	12	8.3*
GRANVILLE	10.0*	0.0*	8.0*	-20.0	2	8	25.0*
GUILFORD	13.3*	4.2*	6.9*	-48.3	8	89	9.0*
IREDELL	11.5*	17.3*	11.6*	1.3	3	23	13.0*
JOHNSTON	9.4*	6.8*	13.3*	41.6	7	43	16.3*
LEE	12.7*	4.3*	6.1*	-51.7	1	11	9.1*
ORANGE	10.7*	6.5*	5.3*	-50.7	0	11	0.0*
PERSON	6.6*	6.1*	4.3*	-34.3	1	6	16.7*
RANDOLPH	12.1*	5.0*	3.9*	-67.7	1	27	3.7*
ROCKINGHAM	11.1*	11.9*	11.1*	0.0	2	18	11.1*
ROWAN	11.0*	13.5*	11.3*	2.8	3	26	11.5*
STOKES	7.6*	7.2*	11.8*	54.9	1	4	25.0*
SURRY	13.2*	9.4*	25.5*	92.9	7	16	43.8*
VANCE	8.8*	8.3*	12.1*	36.5	2	23	8.7*
WAKE	10.6*	8.8*	13.4	26.1	28	163	17.2
WARREN	18.6*	12.1*	35.7*	92.3	1	3	33.3*
YADKIN	13.0*	11.3*	5.3*	-59.4	0	4	0.0*
GRANVILLE-VANCE DISTRICT	8.9*	7.9*	7.7*	-13.6	1	10	10.0*

(\*)rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable. Please use caution when interpreting these rates.

Note: The number of induced termination of pregnancy (abortion) forms submitted to the State Center for Health Statistics (SCHS) was underreported from 2011-2014. Please use caution when interpreting abortion and pregnancy numbers and rates from 2011-2014.

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 3**

**RHB OO #3: Repeat pregnancy age 17 and under**

Numerator (Num) equals the number of repeat pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

Denominator (Den) equals the number of teen pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

**In North Carolina, the average percentage of repeat teen pregnancies has decreased by 5.3% since CY12-CY14.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY12-CY14</u>	<u>CY15-CY17</u>	<u>CY18-CY20</u>	<u>% change from</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>		
	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>first 3-year</u>	<u>Numerator</u>	<u>Denominator</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>period to most</u>			
				<u>recent 3-year</u>			
				<u>period</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>-4.9</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>12.1</b>
ANSON	0.0*	4.0*	4.3*	--	1	8	12.5*
BLADEN	9.3*	5.9*	8.7*	-6.5	1	9	11.1*
BRUNSWICK	18.2*	0.0*	13.5*	-26.0	1	16	6.3*
CABARRUS	7.1*	0.0*	9.6*	34.8	7	44	15.9*
COLUMBUS	0.0*	6.9*	8.9*	--	1	19	5.3*
CUMBERLAND	7.1*	6.9*	14.3*	100.0	7	52	13.5*
DUPLIN	2.7*	12.0*	14.1*	421.1	2	24	8.3*
GASTON	0.0*	0.0*	9.3*	--	2	41	4.9*
HARNETT	4.5*	7.7*	14.0*	207.5	5	30	16.7*
HOKE	10.0*	7.1*	7.7*	-23.1	0	8	0.0*
LENOIR	12.2*	4.8*	4.8*	-60.3	1	21	4.8*
LINCOLN	19.4*	10.2*	9.8*	-49.6	1	12	8.3*
MECKLENBURG	14.3*	9.4*	12.6	-12.0	41	281	14.6
MONTGOMERY	7.5*	13.9*	14.3*	90.5	2	8	25.0*
MOORE	17.3*	4.6*	5.6*	-67.9	0	10	0.0*
NEW HANOVER	12.2*	19.0*	9.3*	-23.9	4	30	13.3*
PENDER	13.0*	10.3*	10.0*	-23.3	0	8	0.0*
RICHMOND	10.1*	10.8*	9.6*	-4.7	2	24	8.3*
ROBESON	14.4*	19.2*	9.3*	-35.8	3	49	6.1*
SAMPSON	13.1*	8.5*	14.9*	13.1	4	24	16.7*
SCOTLAND	9.5*	4.8*	2.7*	-71.4	1	11	9.1*
STANLY	12.0*	13.3*	15.2*	26.7	1	9	11.1*
UNION	8.7*	10.6*	6.3*	-27.6	1	30	3.3*

(\*)rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable. Please use caution when interpreting these rates.

Note: The number of induced termination of pregnancy (abortion) forms submitted to the State Center for Health Statistics (SCHS) was underreported from 2011-2014. Please use caution when interpreting abortion and pregnancy numbers and rates from 2011-2014.

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 4**

**RHB OO #3: Repeat pregnancy age 17 and under**

Numerator (Num) equals the number of repeat pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

Denominator (Den) equals the number of teen pregnancies for teens ages 17 and under

**In North Carolina, the average percentage of repeat teen pregnancies has decreased by 5.3% since CY12-CY14.**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY12-CY14</u>	<u>CY15-CY17</u>	<u>CY18-CY20</u>	<u>% change from</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>		
	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>first 3-year</u>	<u>Numerator</u>	<u>Denominator</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>period to most</u>			
				<u>recent 3-year</u>			
				<u>period</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>-4.9</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>12.1</b>
BEAUFORT	12.5*	11.1*	5.3*	-57.9	1	12	8.3*
BERTIE	0.0*	0.0*	0.0*	0.0	0	5	0.0*
CAMDEN	0.0*	0.0*	0.0*	0.0	0	1	0.0*
CARTERET	15.0*	0.0*	5.3*	-64.9	1	5	20.0*
CHOWAN	3.1*	0.0*	0.0*	-100.0	0	7	0.0*
CRAVEN	13.3*	12.1*	13.5*	1.0	4	14	28.6*
CURRITUCK	4.3*	18.8*	0.0*	-100.0	0	7	0.0*
DARE	14.3*	0.0*	0.0*	-100.0	0	1	0.0*
EDGECOMBE	7.5*	14.3*	12.5*	65.6	1	14	7.1*
GATES	10.0*	10.3*	0.0*	-100.0	0	1	0.0*
GREENE	8.3*	14.3*	4.3*	-47.8	1	9	11.1*
HALIFAX	7.5*	10.3*	10.3*	37.9	2	16	12.5*
HERTFORD	22.6*	4.3*	5.3*	-76.7	0	6	0.0*
HYDE	12.5*	13.9*	0.0*	-100.0	0	1	0.0*
JONES	5.7*	6.7*	14.3*	151.4	0	2	0.0*
MARTIN	11.8*	9.4*	18.8*	59.4	0	4	0.0*
NASH	7.9*	6.5*	16.5*	108.4	5	33	15.2*
NORTHAMPTON	13.2*	7.8*	15.8*	19.5	2	9	22.2*
ONSLOW	6.6*	5.3*	6.2*	-5.9	4	27	14.8*
PAMLICO	7.2*	8.1*	20.0*	176.7	0	2	0.0*
PASQUOTANK	8.2*	7.8*	7.1*	-12.9	0	6	0.0*
PERQUIMANS	16.1*	12.7*	11.1*	-31.1	0	2	0.0*
PITT	10.0*	7.2*	5.6*	-43.5	3	35	8.6*
TYRRELL	15.0*	13.9*	0.0	-100.0	--	--	--
WASHINGTON	9.0*	6.8*	15.8*	75.7	1	6	16.7*
WAYNE	12.1*	12.9*	15.1*	25.0	4	39	10.3*
WILSON	8.9*	13.8*	11.0*	23.1	3	25	12.0*
ALBEMARLE REGIONAL HEALTH SERVICES	11.0*	8.9*	7.3*	-32.9	1	10	10.0*
MARTIN-TYRRELL-WASHINGTON DISTRICT	12.0*	10.2*	10.5*	-12.4	3	13	23.1*

(\*)rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable. Please use caution when interpreting these rates.

Note: The number of induced termination of pregnancy (abortion) forms submitted to the State Center for Health Statistics (SCHS) was underreported from 2011-2014. Please use caution when interpreting abortion and pregnancy numbers and rates from 2011-2014.

**FY 23 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 1**  
**RHB OO #4 Increase Access to the Most Effective Contraceptives**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022*</u>	<u>Total Most Effective Contraceptive Access</u>
ALEXANDER	2	2	2	2 of 2
ALLEGHANY	2	2	--	--
ASHE	2	2	--	--
AVERY	2	2	--	--
BUNCOMBE	2	2	2	2 of 2
BURKE	2	2	2	2 of 2
CALDWELL	2	2	2	2 of 2
CATAWBA	2	2	2	2 of 2
CHEROKEE	2	2	2	2 of 2
CLAY	2	2	2	2 of 2
CLEVELAND	2	2	2	2 of 2
GRAHAM	1	1	1	1 of 2
HAYWOOD	2	2	2	2 of 2
HENDERSON	2	2	2	2 of 2
JACKSON	2	2	2	2 of 2
MACON	2	2	2	2 of 2
MADISON	2	2	2	2 of 2
MCDOWELL	2	2	--	--
MITCHELL	2	2	--	--
RUTHERFORD	2	2	--	--
SWAIN	2	2	2	2 of 2
TRANSYLVANIA	2	2	2	2 of 2
WATAUGA	2	2	--	--
WILKES	2	2	2	2 of 2
YANCEY	2	2	--	--

0 - No IUD or Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

1 - At least one IUD or Hormonal Implant method offered onsite

2 - IUD and Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

\*Data unavailable for counties composing districts

**FY 23 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 2**  
**RHB OO #4 Increase Access to the Most Effective Contraceptives**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022*</u>	<u>Total Most Effective Contraceptive Access</u>
ALAMANCE	2	2	2	2 of 2
CASWELL	2	2	2	2 of 2
CHATHAM	2	2	2	2 of 2
DAVIDSON	2	2	2	2 of 2
DAVIE	0	0	0	0 of 2
DURHAM	2	2	2	2 of 2
FORSYTH	2	2	2	2 of 2
FRANKLIN	2	2	2	2 of 2
GRANVILLE	2	2	--	--
GUILFORD	2	2	2	2 of 2
IREDELL	2	2	2	2 of 2
JOHNSTON	2	2	2	2 of 2
LEE	2	2	2	2 of 2
ORANGE	2	2	2	2 of 2
PERSON	1	1	1	1 of 2
RANDOLPH	2	2	2	2 of 2
ROCKINGHAM	2	2	2	2 of 2
ROWAN	1	1	1	1 of 2
STOKES	2	2	2	2 of 2
SURRY	2	2	2	2 of 2
VANCE	2	2	--	--
WAKE	2	2	2	2 of 2
WARREN	2	2	2	2 of 2
YADKIN	2	2	2	2 of 2

0 - No IUD or Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

1 - At least one IUD or Hormonal Implant method offered onsite

2 - IUD and Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

\*Data unavailable for counties composing districts

**FY 23 Agreement Adenda**  
**WH Consultant Region # 3**  
**RHB OO #4 Increase Access to the Most Effective Contraceptives**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022*</u>	<u>Total Most Effective Contraceptive Access</u>
ANSON	2	2	2	2 of 2
BLADEN	2	2	2	2 of 2
BRUNSWICK	2	2	2	2 of 2
CABARRUS	2	2	2	2 of 2
COLUMBUS	2	2	2	2 of 2
CUMBERLAND	2	2	2	2 of 2
DUPLIN	1	1	2	1 of 1
GASTON	2	2	2	2 of 2
HARNETT	2	2	2	2 of 2
HOKE	2	2	2	2 of 2
LENOIR	2	2	2	2 of 2
LINCOLN	1	2	2	2 of 2
MECKLENBURG	2	2	2	2 of 2
MONTGOMERY	2	2	2	2 of 2
MOORE	2	2	2	2 of 2
NEW HANOVER	2	2	2	2 of 2
PENDER	2	2	2	2 of 2
RICHMOND	2	2	2	2 of 2
ROBESON	2	2	2	2 of 2
SAMPSON	2	2	2	2 of 2
SCOTLAND	2	2	2	2 of 2
STANLY	2	2	2	2 of 2
UNION	2	2	2	2 of 2

0 - No IUD or Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

1 - At least one IUD or Hormonal Implant method offered onsite

2 - IUD and Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

\*Data unavailable for counties composing districts

**FY 23 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 4**

**RHB OO #4 Increase Access to the Most Effective Contraceptives**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022*</u>	<u>Total Most Effective Contraceptive Access</u>
BEAUFORT	2	2	2	2 of 2
BERTIE	2	2	--	--
CAMDEN	2	2	--	--
CARTERET	2	2	2	2 of 2
CHOWAN	2	2	--	--
CRAVEN	2	2	2	2 of 2
CURRITUCK	2	2	--	--
DARE	2	2	2	2 of 2
EDGECOMBE	2	2	2	2 of 2
GATES	2	2	--	--
GREENE	2	2	2	2 of 2
HALIFAX	2	2	2	2 of 2
HERTFORD	2	2	--	--
HYDE	0	2	2	2 of 2
JONES	2	2	2	2 of 2
MARTIN	2	2	--	--
NASH	2	2	2	2 of 2
NORTHAMPTON	2	2	2	2 of 2
ONSLow	2	2	2	2 of 2
PAMLICO	0	0	0	0 of 2
PASQUOTANK	2	2	--	--
PERQUIMANS	2	2	--	--
PITT	2	2	2	2 of 2
TYRRELL	2	2	--	--
WASHINGTON	2	2	--	--
WAYNE	1	2	2	2 of 2
WILSON	2	2	2	2 of 2

0 - No IUD or Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

1 - At least one IUD or Hormonal Implant method offered onsite

2 - IUD and Hormonal Implant methods offered onsite

\*Data unavailable for counties composing districts



**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 1**

**RHB OO #5: At least 90% of family planning clients served are at or below 200% of Federal poverty level**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>
ALEXANDER	89.6%	80.8%	95.7%
BUNCOMBE	70.5%	89.9%	85.5%
BURKE	76.1%	92.3%	91.5%
CALDWELL	45.6%	96.3%	99.4%
CATAWBA	49.9%	69.6%	77.0%
CHEROKEE	85.5%	80.9%	83.7%
CLAY	86.6%	51.7%	89.2%
CLEVELAND	84.9%	82.4%	82.8%
GRAHAM	90.3%	84.8%	87.5%
HAYWOOD	86.2%	82.9%	81.7%
HENDERSON	65.2%	52.7%	90.2%
JACKSON	94.9%	92.6%	93.6%
MACON	75.6%	71.3%	84.1%
MADISON	65.5%	56.9%	92.5%
SWAIN	79.4%	97.2%	98.2%
TRANSYLVANIA	87.2%	83.7%	89.2%
WILKES	73.9%	97.7%	96.1%
APPALACHIAN DISTRICT	32.7%	95.2%	30.9%
FOOTHILLS HEALTH DISTRICT	48.0%	49.7%	92.9%
TOE RIVER DISTRICT	64.1%	58.1%	93.2%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 2**

**RHB OO #5: At least 90% of family planning clients served are at or below 200% of Federal poverty level**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>
ALAMANCE	97.5%	78.0%	88.6%
CASWELL	43.5%	46.6%	99.5%
CHATHAM	77.5%	81.3%	80.3%
DAVIDSON	93.3%	91.8%	91.9%
DAVIE	47.0%	65.6%	99.5%
DURHAM	97.0%	85.0%	87.1%
FORSYTH	89.2%	97.3%	96.9%
FRANKLIN	60.8%	61.0%	97.8%
GUILFORD	82.7%	77.8%	88.2%
IREDELL	64.9%	67.2%	87.3%
JOHNSTON	51.6%	93.5%	93.7%
LEE	80.4%	93.1%	89.3%
ORANGE	89.2%	72.8%	96.2%
PERSON	73.0%	73.3%	95.1%
RANDOLPH	89.4%	86.0%	92.6%
ROCKINGHAM	87.5%	86.7%	85.8%
ROWAN	97.1%	78.5%	97.4%
STOKES	87.1%	84.5%	88.2%
SURRY	61.6%	61.8%	89.4%
WAKE	63.5%	78.3%	92.3%
WARREN	94.4%	81.4%	88.0%
YADKIN	59.7%	61.5%	76.6%
GRANVILLE-VANCE DISTRICT	75.4%	75.1%	87.3%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 3**

**RHB OO #5: At least 90% of family planning clients served are at or below 200% of Federal poverty level**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>
ANSON	38.6%	29.6%	32.5%
BLADEN	73.4%	70.4%	91.9%
BRUNSWICK	69.6%	89.7%	85.5%
CABARRUS	34.8%	36.2%	96.1%
COLUMBUS	77.9%	76.4%	93.8%
CUMBERLAND	97.6%	95.4%	94.0%
DUPLIN	56.8%	59.0%	96.4%
GASTON	64.1%	64.8%	90.2%
HARNETT	94.1%	94.5%	93.0%
HOKE	51.8%	54.2%	96.1%
LENOIR	94.0%	91.6%	96.9%
LINCOLN	86.0%	79.4%	68.8%
MECKLENBURG	61.9%	52.4%	93.9%
MONTGOMERY	60.9%	62.6%	95.0%
MOORE	93.8%	91.1%	93.8%
NEW HANOVER	83.8%	98.2%	97.1%
PENDER	86.1%	85.7%	97.3%
RICHMOND	48.3%	47.1%	98.9%
ROBESON	92.5%	93.7%	91.8%
SAMPSON	86.1%	96.9%	94.8%
SCOTLAND	73.0%	63.1%	92.3%
STANLY	93.9%	81.7%	90.3%
UNION	68.0%	90.7%	81.8%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 4**

**RHB OO #5: At least 90% of family planning clients served are at or below 200% of Federal poverty level**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>
BEAUFORT	81.5%	82.7%	91.0%
CARTERET	49.0%	57.1%	88.1%
CRAVEN	72.8%	68.3%	85.0%
DARE	85.9%	84.5%	90.1%
EDGECOMBE	51.2%	68.7%	68.2%
GREENE	80.6%	38.2%	73.6%
HALIFAX	85.3%	83.2%	81.4%
HYDE	93.9%	97.6%	96.8%
JONES	68.9%	93.8%	96.0%
NASH	94.1%	92.3%	92.9%
NORTHAMPTON	0.0%	90.5%	89.7%
ONSLOW	64.7%	74.5%	89.1%
PAMLICO	97.7%	90.9%	95.7%
PITT	99.4%	97.6%	97.4%
WAYNE	50.4%	47.6%	88.2%
WILSON	71.3%	94.4%	92.5%
ALBEMARLE REGIONAL HEALTH SERVICES	52.8%	50.4%	88.5%
MARTIN-TYRRELL-WASHINGTON DISTRICT	38.8%	96.6%	96.3%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 1**

**RHB OO #6: At least 85% of female family planning users ages 15-24 screened for chlamydia**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>
ALEXANDER	57.3%	47.9%	84.1%
BUNCOMBE	38.2%	50.8%	44.9%
BURKE	45.5%	38.8%	52.8%
CALDWELL	75.0%	44.4%	28.6%
CATAWBA	63.8%	39.1%	53.9%
CHEROKEE	41.7%	56.0%	64.5%
CLAY	77.3%	73.2%	72.8%
CLEVELAND	67.6%	64.2%	71.6%
GRAHAM	57.6%	24.1%	64.5%
HAYWOOD	53.6%	58.0%	39.8%
HENDERSON	37.6%	38.4%	31.8%
JACKSON	119.6%	23.5%	48.5%
MACON	68.5%	68.6%	64.0%
MADISON	60.3%	75.0%	67.5%
SWAIN	29.4%	44.3%	40.5%
TRANSYLVANIA	34.5%	10.5%	40.0%
WILKES	29.9%	16.5%	90.6%
APPALACHIAN DISTRICT	79.6%	65.0%	76.5%
FOOTHILLS HEALTH DISTRICT	0.0%	0.0%	44.8%
TOE RIVER DISTRICT	49.7%	48.3%	41.1%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 2**

**RHB OO #6: At least 85% of female family planning users ages 15-24 screened for chlamydia**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>
ALAMANCE	74.4%	56.4%	60.3%
CASWELL	38.5%	34.4%	101.5%
CHATHAM	67.7%	65.5%	54.2%
DAVIDSON	65.9%	23.5%	47.8%
DAVIE	108.9%	65.8%	65.7%
DURHAM	13.3%	0.0%	27.9%
FORSYTH	55.0%	57.7%	59.7%
FRANKLIN	25.1%	118.8%	62.2%
GUILFORD	80.7%	108.8%	75.2%
IREDELL	54.0%	63.5%	60.5%
JOHNSTON	32.4%	32.3%	35.4%
LEE	92.9%	42.6%	91.9%
ORANGE	95.0%	133.1%	100.0%
PERSON	38.2%	31.5%	40.0%
RANDOLPH	82.4%	86.8%	100.9%
ROCKINGHAM	75.0%	78.3%	85.7%
ROWAN	95.4%	69.7%	69.2%
STOKES	79.4%	58.2%	20.7%
SURRY	78.1%	71.1%	107.1%
WAKE	41.3%	64.4%	58.8%
WARREN	70.8%	45.8%	50.0%
YADKIN	60.9%	61.4%	74.3%
GRANVILLE-VANCE DISTRICT	56.1%	49.6%	48.4%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 3**

**RHB OO #6: At least 85% of female family planning users ages 15-24 screened for chlamydia**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>
ANSON	158.0%	77.6%	130.9%
BLADEN	77.5%	39.1%	34.9%
BRUNSWICK	44.9%	63.7%	79.1%
CABARRUS	42.7%	57.7%	34.4%
COLUMBUS	2.6%	56.9%	61.1%
CUMBERLAND	0.0%	24.5%	31.3%
DUPLIN	39.9%	54.4%	51.6%
GASTON	79.4%	88.7%	14.2%
HARNETT	12.4%	28.0%	33.1%
HOKE	45.7%	43.8%	45.9%
LENOIR	47.8%	59.8%	55.3%
LINCOLN	65.7%	60.3%	41.2%
MECKLENBURG	54.9%	68.6%	72.0%
MONTGOMERY	83.7%	224.8%	73.0%
MOORE	70.3%	69.8%	77.4%
NEW HANOVER	65.6%	38.7%	133.0%
PENDER	30.6%	34.4%	32.7%
RICHMOND	72.8%	73.5%	76.8%
ROBESON	64.2%	69.1%	67.9%
SAMPSON	49.8%	0.0%	33.6%
SCOTLAND	71.9%	90.9%	98.0%
STANLY	0.0%	61.0%	92.9%
UNION	102.5%	103.7%	55.4%

**FY 24 Agreement Adenda**

**WH Consultant Region # 4**

**RHB OO #6: At least 85% of female family planning users ages 15-24 screened for chlamydia**

<u>County/District Health Department</u>	<u>CY 2020</u>	<u>CY 2021</u>	<u>CY 2022</u>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>
BEAUFORT	62.6%	67.0%	62.0%
CARTERET	45.5%	48.5%	61.2%
CRAVEN	43.1%	48.2%	46.0%
DARE	65.9%	75.6%	99.0%
EDGECOMBE	0.0%	0.0%	83.5%
GREENE	60.9%	61.0%	43.8%
HALIFAX	59.4%	79.0%	49.0%
HYDE	38.7%	18.8%	17.1%
JONES	69.6%	64.3%	55.6%
NASH	62.3%	136.8%	70.3%
NORTHAMPTON	25.0%	15.3%	90.2%
ONSLOW	30.3%	193.0%	38.4%
PAMLICO	35.7%	33.3%	42.9%
PITT	0.0%	79.2%	62.7%
WAYNE	33.2%	39.2%	31.5%
WILSON	52.6%	75.7%	90.2%
ALBEMARLE REGIONAL HEALTH SERVICES	89.0%	76.0%	78.4%
MARTIN-TYRRELL-WASHINGTON DISTRICT	64.6%	71.7%	59.1%